UPSC MAINS · ANSWER PRACTICE

Essay · Essay

Just because you have a choice, it does not mean

that any of them has to be right

केवल इसलिए कि आपके पास विकल्प हैं, इसका यह अर्थ कदापि नहीं है

कि उनमें से किसी को भी ठीक होना

ही होगा

EXAM YEAR

2022

PAPER

Essay

SUBJECT

Essay

MARKS

125

WORD LIMIT

~1200 words

Answer Hint

Choice versus correctness; freedom and responsibility; ethical decision-making; availability of options versus quality of options; false dilemmas and flawed alternatives; moral principles and constitutional values; critical thinking and evidence-based decisions; leadership under uncertainty; political and administrative choices; individual autonomy and consequences; social pressure and conformity; the courage to reject all available options; creating better alternatives; balancing pragmatism with idealism; accountability and learning from mistakes.

Model Answer

Introduction

Choice is often regarded as an essential expression of freedom. Individuals value the ability to select their education, profession, relationships and beliefs, while democratic societies emphasise the freedom to choose representatives and determine collective priorities. However, the existence of alternatives does not guarantee that any available option is correct, ethical or beneficial. A person may be forced to choose between two harmful actions, while a decision-maker may face alternatives that are all inadequate because of poor planning or

www.becomeias.com YouTube: becomIAS | Instagram: howtobecomeias

incomplete information. The statement challenges the assumption that choice itself ensures correctness. It reminds us that meaningful freedom requires critical judgment, ethical responsibility and, when necessary, the courage to reject existing alternatives and create better ones.

## Choice and Correctness Are Different Concepts

Choice refers to the availability of alternatives, whereas correctness concerns whether a decision is justified by evidence, sound reasoning, ethical principles and its likely consequences.

A consumer may choose between several products, but all may be defective or unsuitable. A student may select from different courses without having sufficient information about their interests or future opportunities. Similarly, a public official may receive instructions that offer several possible responses, none of which adequately protects public welfare.

The presence of alternatives therefore creates an opportunity for decision-making, not a guarantee of a good outcome. The quality of a decision depends on the information available, the criteria used to evaluate options and the values guiding the final selection.

## The Problem of False Dilemmas

A false dilemma occurs when a situation is presented as having only two alternatives even though additional possibilities exist. Such framing can force people to choose between options that do not reflect their actual needs or values.

For example, a government may present economic development and environmental protection as mutually exclusive objectives. Yet appropriate technology, sustainable planning and environmental safeguards may allow development to proceed while reducing ecological damage.

Similarly, a school may assume that students must either memorise facts or abandon academic discipline, overlooking approaches that combine conceptual understanding, practical learning and regular assessment.

Recognising false dilemmas broadens the decision-making process. Instead of immediately selecting the least undesirable option, individuals and institutions should examine whether the problem has been framed too narrowly.

## Ethical Decision-Making and Moral Responsibility

Ethical decisions require more than selecting the most convenient alternative. They involve considering duties, rights, fairness, consequences and the dignity of those affected.

A public servant may face pressure to favour a politically influential person or follow an instruction that violates established procedures. Although both compliance and resistance may carry personal consequences, the existence of these alternatives does not make either automatically acceptable. The officer must examine the law, public interest, ethical obligations and available lawful courses of action.

Similarly, a business may choose between reducing costs by compromising product quality and maintaining standards at the expense of short-term profits. Both options may appear commercially significant, but consumer safety and legal obligations cannot be treated as optional considerations.

Ethical reasoning enables individuals to distinguish between what is possible, what is convenient and what is right.

## Individual Freedom and Responsibility

Freedom of choice is meaningful because it allows individuals to shape their lives according to their aspirations and values. Yet greater freedom also creates greater responsibility for evaluating consequences.

A person may have the freedom to spread unverified information online, but that freedom does not make the act responsible. Similarly, an individual may choose an unhealthy or financially risky course of action, but the availability of that choice does not remove the need for informed judgment.

Responsible autonomy involves understanding alternatives, considering their impact on others and accepting accountability for one's decisions. It also requires recognising that personal preferences cannot always override the rights and welfare of other people.

Thus, freedom becomes meaningful when it is exercised with awareness, maturity and respect for legitimate constraints.

## Choice in Democracy and Public Policy

Democracy offers citizens the opportunity to choose their representatives and participate in public decision-making. However, an election may present candidates whose policies are all incomplete, whose claims are

misleading or whose proposed solutions fail to address important public concerns.

In such circumstances, voters must evaluate candidates on the basis of integrity, competence, policy proposals, constitutional commitments and accountability rather than assume that every available candidate is necessarily suitable.

The same principle applies to policymaking. Governments may consider different approaches to unemployment, poverty, healthcare or climate change, yet every proposal may contain limitations. Policymakers must assess evidence, consult affected communities, evaluate costs and modify approaches when circumstances require.

Democratic choice is therefore essential, but its quality depends on informed citizens, transparent institutions and the availability of meaningful alternatives.

## Leadership When Every Option Appears Inadequate

Leaders frequently encounter situations in which no available course of action is entirely satisfactory. During disasters, public-health emergencies or economic crises, resources may be insufficient to meet every need simultaneously.

For example, a district administration responding to severe flooding may have to prioritise evacuations, medical assistance and the protection of essential infrastructure. Every decision may involve trade-offs, and delays can increase harm.

Responsible leadership requires identifying the least harmful feasible approach, explaining the reasons for prioritisation and reviewing decisions as new information becomes available. It also requires looking beyond the immediate options to determine whether resources can be mobilised or new solutions developed.

However, urgency should not become an excuse for ignoring legal safeguards or fundamental rights. Even in difficult circumstances, decisions must be proportionate, transparent and subject to accountability.

## The Courage to Reject All Available Options

One of the most important implications of the statement is that individuals are not always required to accept the alternatives presented to them.

Sometimes the most appropriate response is to refuse the available choices and search for a better course of action.

A student pressured to cheat in an examination may reject the choice between cheating and securing an unfair advantage through silence. A professional asked to falsify records may refuse the instruction and report the matter through appropriate channels.

Likewise, a community facing a development project that threatens its livelihood should not necessarily have to choose between environmental destruction and the complete absence of economic opportunity. Consultation, redesign and alternative livelihood arrangements may create a more acceptable solution.

Rejecting all existing options is not always practical, particularly in emergencies. Nevertheless, when time and circumstances permit, questioning the available alternatives can lead to more ethical and effective outcomes.

## Critical Thinking and Evidence-Based Choices

Sound decisions require the ability to question assumptions, identify biases and distinguish facts from opinions. People may choose an option simply because it is popular, familiar or endorsed by an authority figure. Such choices may feel reassuring without being justified.

Critical thinking involves examining evidence, comparing likely outcomes and considering the perspectives of those affected. Scientific reasoning adds the discipline of testing claims against observable evidence and revising conclusions when new information emerges.

For example, a government programme should not be judged solely by its stated objectives. Its actual outcomes, distributional effects, cost-effectiveness and unintended consequences must also be evaluated.

Evidence does not eliminate every uncertainty, but it improves the basis on which decisions are made. Good judgment combines reliable information with ethical reasoning and an understanding of practical constraints.

## Social Pressure and the Illusion of Choice

The availability of options can be misleading when social pressure, inequality or manipulation restricts genuine autonomy. A person may technically have several alternatives but lack the resources, information or

freedom required to choose meaningfully.

For instance, an economically vulnerable worker may appear free to reject unsafe working conditions but may have no realistic alternative source of income. Similarly, students may be pressured to pursue careers valued by their families despite having different abilities and interests.

In such situations, merely providing formal choices is insufficient. Society must strengthen access to education, social protection, information and fair opportunities so that individuals can make decisions without coercion.

Meaningful choice therefore requires both individual freedom and enabling social conditions.

## Learning from Wrong Decisions

Even carefully considered choices can produce disappointing results because decisions are made under uncertainty. A policy may fail despite sound intentions, and a professional may select an option that later proves unsuitable.

The appropriate response is neither to assume that the original decision was correct simply because it was chosen nor to treat every failure as evidence of incompetence. Instead, individuals and institutions should examine the assumptions, evidence and circumstances that shaped the outcome.

Regular evaluation, transparent reporting and willingness to correct mistakes help prevent repeated failures. In public administration, accountability should encourage responsible learning while ensuring that misconduct and deliberate negligence are addressed appropriately.

A mature decision-making culture recognises that correctness is not established by the mere act of choosing; it must be supported by reasoning and assessed in light of evidence and consequences.

## Creating Better Alternatives

When existing options are inadequate, innovation and dialogue can expand the range of possibilities. Consultation may reveal needs that were initially overlooked, while experimentation can identify solutions that conventional thinking has excluded.

In healthcare, combining preventive care, community outreach and accessible treatment may be more effective than relying on a single intervention. In urban transport, integrating public transport, walking

BECOME IAS
AI POWERED UPSC PREP

infrastructure and cycling facilities may serve citizens better than choosing exclusively between private vehicles and buses.

Similarly, collaborative policymaking can reconcile interests that initially appear incompatible. By asking better questions and involving diverse stakeholders, institutions can move beyond narrow choices towards more inclusive solutions.

The ability to create alternatives is therefore an essential complement to the ability to choose among them.

## Conclusion

The existence of choice does not guarantee that any available alternative is correct, ethical or beneficial. Meaningful decision-making requires critical thinking, reliable evidence, moral judgment and an awareness of consequences. Individuals and institutions must resist false dilemmas, question social pressure and remain willing to reject inadequate alternatives when circumstances permit. At the same time, practical constraints may require selecting the least harmful feasible option while continuing to seek improvement. Ultimately, genuine freedom lies not merely in choosing from what is available, but in exercising sound judgment and working towards better possibilities.

BECOME IAS
AI POWERED UPSC PREP